

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): SEETZEN, Helge
Title: METHOD FOR EFFICIENT COMPUTATION OF IMAGE FRAMES FOR
DUAL MODULATION DISPLAY SYSTEMS USING KEY FRAMES
Serial No.: 10/599954 Confirmation No.: 7212
Filed: 24 December 2004
Examiner: Lam, Vinh Tano Art Unit: 2629
Date: 27 January 2009

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

In response to the election of species requirement set out in the Office Action mailed 14 January 2009, the Applicant hereby provisionally elects species 3 (Figure 4) with traverse. At least claims 1, 2, 4-6, 13-19 and 26-32 are submitted to encompass species 3.

The Applicant respectfully traverses the election requirement because the species are not mutually exclusive, as alleged in the Office Action. The Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the election requirement.

The features of the methods illustrated by Figures 3 and 4 may optionally be combined (see, for example, paragraph [0034]). Furthermore, the method illustrated by Figure 5 provides for updating a key frame by selecting standard key frame parameters (see block 324). Selecting standard key frame parameters is one way to establish new key frame parameters. The methods illustrated by Figures 3 and 4 include establishing new key frame parameters. This could be done in some instances by selecting appropriate standard key frame parameters.

Further, contrary to the allegation in the Office Action, claims 1, 2, 4-6, 13-19 and 26-32 are all submitted to be generic to species 2, 3, and 4 as identified in the Office Action. In particular, these claims do not rule out the possibility of updating key frame parameters after processing some number of frames as shown, for example, in Figure 3 or updating key frames by selecting standard key frame parameters as shown, for example, in Figure 5.

The Applicant further submits that the species illustrated in Figures 3, 4 and 5 (species 2, 3 and 4) are sufficiently related that there would not be a serious burden on the Examiner if election were not required.

The Applicant submits that it would be proper for all pending claims to be examined together.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /GavinNManning/
Gavin N. Manning
Registration No. 36,412
tel: 604.669.3432 ext. 9043
fax: 604.681.4081
e-mail: GNMDocket@patentable.com